FRIDAY, OCTOBER 14, 2011

Ending hypocrisy of terrorist designation

Friends are branded as enemies while real enemies are appeased

By Gen. Hugh Shelton

s two current high-profile cases demonstrate the U.S. govern-ment's practice of listing "foreign terrorist organiza-tions" (FTOs) has become an in-creasingly dangerous and hollow politi-cal exercise rather than a sober assess-ment of the real threats to America. Last month, Afghanistan's ruthless Haqqani Network reportedly staged a brazen attack against the U.S. Embassy

in Kabul. The Haqqanis, who conduct grisly terrorist attacks on hotels, emgristy terrorist attacks on notets, em-bassies and other targets to advance their agenda to become power brokers in a future political settlement, report-edly are responsible for hundreds of American deaths since 2001. Some American military officers apparently are furious that the Obama administra-gre furious that the Obama administraare furious that the Obama administra tion decided not to designate the Haqqani Network as a terrorist organi-zation because it was feared that listing zation because it was feared that listing the group would make it harder for the Afghan government to negotiate with the Haqqanis. At the same time, the United States continues to list the Mujahedin-e-Khalq (MFK), Iran's main opposition group and a declared democratically as an

and a declared democratic ally, as an FTO even though it meets none of the FIO even though it meets none of the criteria and long ago renounced vio-lence. Importantly, the group was the first to reveal Iran's 20-year clandestine nuclear program and provided invalu-able intelligence to the U.S. military in Iraq, which not only helped identify and neutralize Iran's proxy terrorist groups coerciting in the country but undoubt. operating in that country but undoubt-edly saved American lives in the

process.
What gives? Listing organizations like the MEK and not listing groups like the Haqqamis sends the wrong message to friends and foes alike.
In the case of the MEK, the group was put on the State Department's list of



terrorist organizations in 1997 to apterrorist organizations in 1997 to ap-pease the Tehran regime. The mullahs, who hate and fear the MEK, demanded that the group be listed as a precondi-tion for potential negotiations with the United States. Those negotiations never materialized, and today Iran remains

today, Iran remains the most dangerous player in the region, Ironically region. Ironically,
the misguided FTO
designation has
given Iran and its
proxies in Iraq a license to kill thousands of MEK men

bers, including a massacre on April 8 that killed or wounded hundreds of unarmed men and women in their Iraqi armed men and women in their Iraqi base known as Camp Ashraf. The cur-rent drawdown of protective US troops in Iraq means that almost certain anni-hilation awaits a group that has dedi-cated itself to a democratic, non-nuclear Iran.

There is a growing movement to

delist the MEK. It includes a bipartisan delist the MEK. It includes a bipartisan group of 96 members of Congress, in-cluding chairmen of the House Select Intelligence and Armed Services com-mittees and an impressive roster of for-mer high-level intelligence, law enforce ment and ment and

security offi Today, there is once again cials from the a fierce debate inside the Clinton, George W. Bush and Obama administration on Obama adminwhether to put the Haggani Network on the terrorist list.

Haqqanis, sources indicate that during high-level discussions last year, Obama adminis-tration officials debated listing the group as an FTO, which would have al-lowed for assets to be frozen and could lowed for assets to be frozen and could help dry up the pool of financial donors supporting the group. Though some po-litical leaders and military commanders pushed for the designation in response to the group's escalating attacks on Americans, the administration decided

that such a move might alienate the that such a move might alienate the Hagaquis and drive them away from the negotiating table. It also would have been perceived as another "provoca-tion" in the already tenuous U.S-Pak-istani relationship. In late September, Adm. Michael Millen, then chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, made a com-position case for Polistani compositivity. pelling case for Pakistani complicity in the attack against the U.S. Embassy in

What began as a coordinated effort to identify, list, delegitimize and defang terrorist groups has instead become a symbol of appeasement: America put the MEK on the list to appease the Ira-nians and has kept the Haqqains ioff the list to appease Pakistan as well as Adebusitens which will buse to do! Afghanistan, which will have to deal with the network long after Americans

Today, there is once again a fierce debate inside the Obama administration on whether to put the Haqqani Network on the terrorist list. At the same time, a debate rages over whether to delist the

MEK. The U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia has ordered the District of Columbia has ordered the U.S. State Department to evaluate the designation as pressure mounts within the department for a new strategy for dealing with the Iranian regime, which it acknowledges is the No. 1 state sponsor of terrorism.

It appears we are dangerously incon-It appears we are dangerously incon sistent when it comes to the FTO list. Potential friends are branded as terror-ists, and avowed enemies avoid a stig-matized identity that could help sap-their mystique, funding and support. Both decisions should be reversed im-mediately, and the whole FTO strategy needs to be examined. The FTO list should be an instrument for counterfers should be an instrument for counterterrorism, not a tool of negotiation in which hundreds of lives — not to m tion American prestige - are used as

Gen. Hugh Shelton is a former chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff.

COUNTDOWN TO UPHEAVAL

By William M. Isaac and Richard M. Kovacevich

resident Obama recently challenged House Majority Leader Eric Cantor, Vir-ginia Republican, to explain what he didn't like in the president's jobs bill. Mr. Obama didn't ask our opinion, but we'll give it anyway: The bill wouldn't have turned around the economy, and it wouldn't have created meaningful jobs. Forget, for a moment, whether you

Forget, for a moment, whether you are Republican, Democrat, Libertarian, Tea Party, independent or none of the above. Ask yourself whether we have addressed during the past three years the root causes of our nation's eco-nomic decline.

Have we changed the fiscal policies that played a major role in the crisis of 2008 and resulted in a historic down-2008 and resulted in a historic down-grade of US, 6bit Haw we addressed the housing crisis and tended to mort-gage giants Fannie Mae and Freddie Mae, without which there would not have been a mortgage crisis and all that followed: Haw we tackled regulatory reform to create more effective and less obtrusive regulation of businesses? Hawe we reformed the credit-rating and regulatory agencies that allowed specu-lative growth to get out of hand?

lative growth to get out of hand?

Mr. Obam inherited a mess, to be
sure. The economic and jobs pictures
warranted his undivided attention.
There should have been no higher priority for the president and Congress.
What we got instead was health care
reform; the Dodd-Frank financial legis-

lation; federal spending on a scale unprecedented in peacetime; monetary olicies that give new meaning to the vord "loose"; a depreciating dollar,



Only tough leadership can save economy



causing higher commodity prices and sapping consumer discretionary in-come; and regulatory burdens that are suffocating the economy.

Health care reform was not an ur nt priority. The legislation did little to fix the primary problems with health

care delivery and will add at least \$1 tril-lion to the federal deficit. The Dodd-Frank bill failed to address the problems that caused the financial crisis of 2008 and will make it more difficult to han

» see LEAD | B4

By Jeffrey T. Kuhner

s America in its twilight years? Patrick J. Buchanan argues it is. Americans, especially conserva-tives, should heed his warnings. The very future of our republic is at stake. Mr. Buchanan has written the po-litical book of the year — and maybe of

our time.

In "Suicide of a Superpower"
(Thomas Dunne Books, 2011), the nationally syndicated columnist and TV

commentator delivers damning indictment of the past two decades. His thesis: America is in decline. Unless it is in decline. Unless it is reversed, the United States — like great re-publics before it — will be swept into the dust-bin of history. Mr. Buchanan ar-ques that our leaders

gues that our leaders have embraced the

"New World Order." Unlimited immi-"New World Order" Unlimited immi-gration, free trade, open borders, stri-dent multiculturalism, globalism, a cra-dle-to-grave welfare state, neo-pagan morality, massive deficit spending and democratic imperialism — together they have triggered the moral, eco-nomic and spiritual disintegration of America.

Mr. Buchanan was one of the few conservatives to directly challenge the Great Society Republicanism prevalent throughout the George W. Bush admin istration. He opposed No Child Left Besistration. He opposed No Child Left Be-hind, the Medicare prescription-drug benefit, runaway spending, amnesty for illegal aliens, economic globalization and the Troubled Asset Relief Program (TARP). He argues that the GOP estab-lishment had lost its ideological way, abandoning principle in favor of power abandoning principle in favor of power. Niccolo Machiavelli trumped Ronald

The author insists that President

Mr. Buchanan states

that the exploding debt

future. It will force

America into default or to devalue our currency, leading to

The author insists that President
Obama has accelerated — and deepened — the failed Bush policies. Mr.
Obama is seeking to
erect a European-style
nann states have propped up big threatens our economic banks, as Middle banks, as Middie
America was forced
to pay for it. Mr.
Obama's trillion-dollar deficits have
brought us to the
brink of bankruptcy. Weimar Germany-style His economic stimu-lus failed to create jobs, while it ex-

panded the reach of government - pro viding more beneficiaries and voters viding more beneficiaries and voters loyal to the Democrats. Obamicare is another massive entitlement program Americans cannot afford. Mr. Buchanan states that the exploding debt threatens our economic future. It will force Amer-ica into default or to devalue our cur-rency, leading to Weimar Germanystyle inflation. Cherished programs -Social Security, Medicare, Medicaid,

» see LAST | B4